

Submission ID: 31515

NSDC agree with the route for the ASI1 as put forward by the Applicant.

It is noted that the Applicant has commented on the Council's Relevant Representations at REP1-009. Just a note that NSDC do not disagree with the mitigation as set out in the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan (APP-184) however the Council would want to be included in discussions relating to the monitoring of heritage assets, including any repairs during the construction phase and that this should be taken in to account or within the document and linked to the dDCO for completeness.

Within REP1-010 NSDC would agree that for Requirement 13 the LLFA at Nottinghamshire County Council should be a consultee and included in this Requirement. Surface water flood risk is an important focus for NSDC and the LLFA should be more involved in managing this moving forward, in our opinion. NSDC would kindly ask the ExA to ensure this is written in to any Requirement of the dDCO on surface water management moving forward.

Of the same document, EAFR-003 NSDC would support the comments of the Environment Agency in that this is a missed opportunity for the Scheme to provide wider flood risk benefits to a Town that is so heavily impacted by the development. This is especially important when the applicant states for EAFR-002 that their Hydraulic Modelling Technical Note shows 691 more vulnerable receptors with a negligible increase in flood depth.